Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/593,357	VERSECK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TERESA E. STRZELECKA	1637
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Teresa Strzelecka</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Bedgood.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>18 August 2010</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-15		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Bedgood was first contacted on July 8, 2010 to inform Applicants that the previously elected SEQ ID NOs 50, 72 and 84 were allowable. Examiner indicated willingness to examine more sequences, as long as the total number of sequences did not exceed 10 and the elected sequences formed active proteins. On August 3, 2010, after consultation with Applicants, Mr. Bedgood informed examiner that Applicants wished to have SEQ ID NO: 58, 80, 86, 60 and 64 examined. On August 18, 2010 examiner informed Mr. Bedgood that the additional elected sequences were also allowable, and therefore the non-elected claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10 and 11 would need to be cancelled, and claims 6, 9 and 13-15 amended to remove non-examined SEQ ID NOs. Mr. Bedgood agreed to the amendments, therefore putting application in condition for allowance.